

5-9-01
13
vca

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/241,127

Applicant(s)

Georg Schwinn

Examiner

Ula C. Ruddock

Group Art Unit

1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ula C. Ruddock *UCR*

(3) _____

(2) Karl Milde *KM*

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 9, 2001

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ Applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:

Strack et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant has pointed out the difference between the present invention and Strack. Strack shows an additional layer between the two outer layers not included in Applicant's invention. Applicant has discussed the possibility of limiting the claim language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.